Tribological Behavior of Bone Against Calcium Titanate Coating in Simulated Body Fluid
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Abstract

Although calcium titanate has been proposed as a coating for biomedical applications, a characterization of tribological properties simulating human conditions has not been reported. In this work we studied friction and wear mechanism of calcium titanate coating growth onto AISI 304 steel (750 nm thickness) deposited by r.f. magnetron sputtering. It was found that the wear mechanisms of the system is bone adhesion to the coating without detachment of the coating, both dry and in Hank’s solution, with a friction coefficient of 0.84 ± 0.13 and 0.65 ± 0.13, respectively. The wear of the bone was more severe when using a simulated body fluid at 37°C in the pin on disk test.

Keywords:
• biomaterials
• calcium titanate coating
• tribology
• pin-on-disk
• Hank’s solution
Resumen

Aunque el titanato de calcio ha sido propuesto como recubrimiento para aplicaciones biomédicas, no se han reportado caracterizaciones tribológicas en condiciones que simulan las condiciones del interior del cuerpo humano. En este trabajo se evalúan propiedades de fricción y mecanismos de desgaste de estos recubrimientos (de 750 nm de espesor) depositados mediante r.f. magnetrón sputtering. Se encontró que el mecanismo de desgaste del sistema es adhesión de hueso al recubrimiento sin desprendimiento de la capa, tanto en seco como en solución de Hank, con coeficientes de fricción de 0.84 ± 0.13 y 0.65 ± 0.13, respectivamente. El desgaste del hueso fue más severo cuando el ensayo de pin-disco se llevó a cabo en fluido corporal simulado.
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Introduction

Hip prosthesis stems may be cemented or uncemented, the uncemented have four options for achieving adhesion with the surrounding tissue: by pressure, porous surface, screws or with coated surface. Joint prosthesis with hydroxyapatite coated stems have presented a big clinical success, as they increase the rate of osseointegration regarding uncemented prosthesis (Faig and Gil, 2008; Coathup et al., 2009; Rokkum and Reigstad, 1999). However, in the long run this coating dissolves and detaches exposing the base metal (Porter et al., 2004), which can lead to the loosening of the prosthesis, pain in the patient, wear on the rest of the prosthesis or, in the worst case, to extensive destruction of bone tissue.

Although the calcium titanate has been studied extensively in regard to optical and luminescent properties (Moreira et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2009), an interface layer containing calcium titanate on biomedical titanium alloys coated with hydroxyapatite has been found (Ergun et al., 2003; Kokubo et al., 2003), so that some authors have reported to have obtained calcium titanate layers by various methods on titanium and titanium alloys, such as sol-gel (Holliday and Stanishevsky, 2004), magnetron sputtering (Asami et al., 2005), ion-beam assisted deposition (Ohtsu et al., 2006), pulsed laser deposition (Ohtsu et al., 2007), hydrothermal–electrochemical method (Wiff et al., 2007), thick alkalized calcium oxidation (Ohtsu et al., 2007), and hydrothermal treatment (Park et al., 2011) for biomedical applications.

Despite the fact that most commercial prostheses are made of titanium or titanium alloys, because the material replaced for bone is expected to have a modulus equivalent to that of bone (Geetha et al., 2009), and due to their high cost and their poor tribological properties, stainless steels is still used in hip prosthesis and remains under investigation for biomedical purposes (Lundin et al., 2012; Nie, 2011; Barragán et al., 2010). Although type 304 is seldom used in biomedical implants or devices and its sensitivity to any sign of corrosion is more detectable than in 316L (Tang et al., 2006), it is used in implants such as brackets and screws. This steel also meets ASTM F138 and ASTM F139 (ASTM F138–08, 2008; ASTM F139–08, 2008) standards for steels used in biomedical applications and it is cheaper than AISI 316L which would represent a reduction in hip prosthesis cost. This is the reason for proposing here the evaluation of tribological properties of AISI 304 stainless steel coated with calcium titanate for prosthesis stem applications.

It is known that due to the continuous micro-movements between the bone and the implant (Fu et al., 1998) wear femoral stem occurs (Howell et al., 1999), so it is important to know the tribological properties of the coating with respect to the bone, such as friction and wear in conditions which approach those of the human body. Therefore, this work aims to evaluate calcium titanate coated AISI 304 stainless steel by r.f. magnetron sputtering, evaluating mechanical properties of hardness and elastic modulus by nanoindentation; coefficient of friction and wear by pin-on-disc test in dry conditions at room temperature and in Hank’s solution at 37 °C with an animal bone pin as a counterpart.

Materials and methods

The CaTiO₃ cathode used for the sputtering technique were from CaTiO₃ powder with a purification of 99.9% from Super Conductor Materials, Inc. Silicon (100) and 304 stainless steel disks (diameter = 1.27 cm and thickness = 3 mm) were used as substrates. The steel was polished with sandpaper passing numbers 200 to 2000, and finally with 1 and 0.3 μm alumina solution. Prior to deposition of calcium titanate an approximately 200 nm
titanium layer was deposited onto steel substrate by magnetron sputtering, during the 3 hours at a temperature of 250°C, with a power density of the cathode fixed at 350 W.

Calcium titanate was deposited via magnetron sputtering in Ar atmosphere. Prior to the deposition, the deposition chamber was evacuated to a base pressure of 2 × 10⁻⁶ mbar and the target was sputter-cleaned for 20 min, before film growth. During the 4 hours deposition process at a temperature of 500°C, the sputtering power density deposition of the CaTiO₂ cathode was fixed at 2.47 W/cm², the pressure in the chamber was fixed at 2 × 10⁻² mbar, and the target was fixed 4.3 cm under the substrate.

The crystal structure of the films was determined by using glancing incident X-ray diffraction (GIXRD) at 2θ incidence angle with a RIGAKU (Dmax2100) diffractometer using Co Kα radiation (λ = 1.78899 Å, 30 kV and 16 mA). The chemical composition of deposited films was performed by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) in the scanning electron microscope (JEOL JSM-649 OLV SEM). The thickness of the films was measured by Scanning Electron Microscope (JEOL JSM-649 OLV). The mechanical analysis was performed via nanoindentation (ASTM E2546-07; 2007) by means of anUBIL - HYSITRON device and a diamond Berkovich tip. For each sample several series of ten indents were made and the results were averaged, since the maximal nanoindentation depth was about 10% of coating thickness.

Taking into account that these coatings are proposed for use in hip prosthesis stems a pin-on-disk test (ASTM G99-05, 2010) with animal bone ball as a counterpart was used to measure the wear resistance and the friction coefficient using a MT60 tribometer from NANOVEATM. The bone pin was prepared from a bovine metacarpal diaphysis, which was immersed in boiling water avoiding touching the bottom of the vessel to not degrade its mechanical properties. Then the soft tissue was removed mechanically by hand and the bone was immersed in a bleach-water mixture (1:5 volume) for 53 hours for further machining to a spherical geometry of 6 mm diameter. For mechanical measurement via nanoindentation specimens were embedded in plastic (Lucite, Buehler) to protect them from damage and to provide a uniform format for preparation. The sample was polished using a sequence of abrasives silicon carbide grit paper ending with 0.3 micron alumina suspension, using water as a lubricant. Each sample was indented 24 times using a Berkovich pyramidal indenter, varying the maximum load applied from 479.6 to 5995.8 μN (10 seconds of loading and 10 seconds of unloading).

Tribological test was carried out in dry and wet conditions (three bare steel samples and three coated samples in every condition). Dry tests were carried out at room temperature and relatively humidity of 65% ± 4, wet tests, simulating body conditions (SBC), were performed using Hank’s solution (Table 1) at 37°C ± 1. The test is carried out with Hank’s solution because this is, of all the available solutions, the one that most closely approximates to the composition of blood plasma (Zhang a et al., 2013). The applied load was 3 N, the distance was 200 meters at 100 rpm (test duration: 1 hour, 46 minutes). A detailed characterization of the worn surfaces was performed using a Scanning Electron Microscope (JEOL JSM-649 OLV) after cleaning with acetone and water at 50°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>KCl</th>
<th>MgSO₄.7H₂O</th>
<th>CaCl₂·H₂O</th>
<th>Na₂HPO₄</th>
<th>KH₂PO₄</th>
<th>NaHCO₃</th>
<th>Glucosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Chemical composition of Hank’s solution, concentration [g/L]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elemento</th>
<th>% atómico</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>13,82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>14,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>72,02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Elemental chemical composition in calcium titanate coating

Figure 1. a) EDX analysis, b) surface morphology, and c) cross section of the calcium titanate coating growth on Si substrate.
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Results and discussion

Chemical composition, morphology and phase composition of the coatings

The EDX spectrum of elemental composition of the coating surface, Figure 1: a) revealed that the coating contains Ca, Ti and O atoms (Si belongs to the substrate) with a Ca-Ti ratio of 0.98. Table 2 shows the elemental chemical composition. As can be seen in b), the coating obtained is homogeneous without micro-pores or particles on the surface. Finally, a thickness of 750 nm is shown in a cross sectional view c) on calcium titanate coating.

The grazing incident XRD pattern of CaTiO$_3$ film is shown in Figure 2. This indicates that the coating exhibits polycrystalline structure with a (022) preferential orientation corresponding to Pbnm orthorhombic Ca-TiO$_3$ phase at 33.293$^\circ$. The shift toward high angles (0.69 grades) at positions 33,293$^\circ$ and 40,673$^\circ$ of JCPDF 01-088-0790 card is in relation with compressive residual stress characteristics. The positions 52.827$^\circ$, 52.931$^\circ$, 64.747$^\circ$ and 64.866$^\circ$ are in agreement with JCPDF 01-082-0231 card which also corresponds to an orthorhombic Pbnm calcium titanate. The peak located at 72.779$^\circ$ is in agreement with JCPDF 00-043-0226 card, corresponding to a cubic Pm-3m calcium titanate, which is a phase that normally occur above 1300°C (Roushown and Masatomo, 2005), and it is known that metastable phases can be formed in as-deposited thin films by physical vapor deposition whereas they are hardly seen in bulk counterparts (Krzanowski, 2004). Finally, anatase phase with (101) and (224) reflections of TiO$_2$ was observed, in agreement with JCPDF 01-083-2243 card; and was also found a coincidence with 01-074-1226 card, in 64.647$^\circ$ position, which correspond to (311) reflection of CaO; that is because the deposition process is assumed to atomically disassemble the compound AXY, directing A, X and Y atoms toward the substrate, where they can be reassembled in multiple phases (Krzanowski, 2004), it means that a part of the material reaching the substrate is suffering the next change:

\[
\text{CaTiO}_3 \rightarrow \text{TiO}_2 + \text{CaO}
\]

The crystallinity of the coatings indicates that heating the substrate at 500°C is appropriate for obtaining calcium titanate crystalline coatings. This is better than that reported by Naofumi Ohtsu et al. (2007) who obtained crystalline films at 600°C.

Mechanical properties

Hardness

Table 3 shows materials hardness and elastic modulus measured by nanoindentation. In all three cases the averaged uniaxial Young’s moduli and hardness (in the axial and transverse directions for bone) values were computed from the experimental curves using the Oliver-Pharr theory (Oliver and Pharr, 1992). The mechanical differences in bone values (longitudinal and transversal directions) are due to structural anisotropy and are also linked to a variation in chemical composition (Rho et al., 1998).

Tribological properties

In part a) of Figure 3 it can be seen the wear mechanisms on bare steel and the spherical counterpart bone. There are two wear mechanisms: abrasive wear and adhesive wear. Second zoom shows plow lines indicating abrasive wear likely because of some steel particle came off due to fatigue and strain hardened generated abrasion to disk. The zoom shows bone adhesion to the disk; it is also observed that adhered material fractures because of fatigue forming wear debris acting as a third body. In part b) we observe wear mechanisms of coated steel. Abrasive wear is not observed and EDX probe revealed no bare steel, so it follows that the coating maintained its integrity after testing. The only wear mechanism observed is adhesive and fatigue fracture of bonded bone forming wear particles. Figure 4 shows the wear mechanisms of bare steel and coated steel in Hank’s solution. It can be seen that the only wear mechanisms both cases is bone adhesion, there is no abrasive wear in neither case, there is no detachment of the coating, and there is salts precipitations.

Figure 5 parts a) and b) show bone pin acting as counterpart of bare steel and coated steel, respectively.
Table 3. Hardness and elastic modulus of bare steel, calcium titanate coated steel and bone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Bare steel</th>
<th>CT coating</th>
<th>Bone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>Transversal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness [GPa]</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic Modulus [GPa]</td>
<td>234 ± 12</td>
<td>133.69 ± 10</td>
<td>16.27 ± 1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Wear mechanism in a) the AISI 304 steel and, b) calcium titanate coated AISI 304 steel in pin on disc test using a bovine pin as a counterpart in dry conditions.

Figure 4. Wear mechanism in a) the AISI 304 steel and, b) calcium titanate coated AISI 304 steel in pin on disc test using a bovine pin as a counterpart in Hank’s solution at 37 °C.

Figure 5. Wear in pin acting like counterpart to a) bare steel in dry conditions, b) coated steel dry conditions, c) wear zone in pin, d) bare steel in Hank’s solution, e) coated steel in Hank’s solution f) debris from dry test.

Figure 6 shows friction coefficient in function of sliding distance in pin-on-disk test. In part a) stages 1 and 2 refer to asperities deformation and contaminant removal mentioned by Holmberg and Matthews, (2009) appear in small proportion in bare steel and are almost nonexistent in coated steel due to their low initial roughness and high cleanliness. Stage 3 where the friction coefficient increases due to the rapid increase of wear particles trapped between the sliding surfaces is larger in bare steel than in coated steel. This could be because the coating removes more bone wear debris due to its higher hardness, as can be seen in Table 3, which rapidly increases the friction coefficient. Relative to stage 4, one of the bare steel samples does show a short interval with a friction coefficient of about 1.7 before reaching the steady state, which may be related to greater wear bone, $1.59 \times 10^2 \text{ mm}^3$, compared with the wear in the bone provided because of the other two samples, $0.79 \times 10^2 \text{ mm}^3$ and $0.76 \times 10^2 \text{ mm}^3$. The reason for this differences in bone wear is the bone anisotropy: its hardness in the longitudinal direction is different in the transversal direction (Rho 1998); as this orientation could not be controlled in the pins preparation of the current investigation, these may exhibit different hardness according to the area that is in contact with the disk, in this case the hardness of the pin which acted as counter-part of the steel sample in question is $50.83 \pm 3.59 \text{ HV}$, compared with the hardness of the other two samples, $54.23 \pm 2.66 \text{ HV}$ and $69.04 \pm 1.94 \text{ HV}$, respectively. It can also make a correlation between bone pin wear and dynamic friction coefficient of coated steel: high to low friction coefficient pin wear were $1.04 \times 10^2 \text{ mm}^3$, $2.53 \times 10^2 \text{ mm}^3$ and $2.85 \times 10^2 \text{ mm}^3$, whose hardness were respectively $2594-0732$.
Part a) of Figure 7 shows the loss in volume of the bone spherical counterpart in both test conditions. It can be observed that in dry conditions and in Hank’s solution at 37°C, coated steel samples cause more wear in bone pin because of its greater hardness compared to bare steel. Analyzing each material is observed that wear was more severe when test was performed in Hank’s solution at 37°C than when it was in dry conditions. This can be attributed to a decrease in mechanical properties of the bone immerse in a liquid environment which simulates blood plasma, as evidenced in part b) of Figure 7, which shows that bone loses hardness if it is immersed in the fluid, and lower hardness leads to increased wear.

Conclusions

- As depositing calcium titanate by magnetron sputtering onto AISI 304 stainless steel using a CaTiO₃ as cathode obtained by powder technology, a coating was obtained consisting of Pbnm orthorrombic calcium titanate, Pm–3m cubic calcium titanate, titanium oxide (anatase) and calcium oxide. The thickness of the coating was about 750 nm, with a hardness of 6.3 ± 0.1 and an elastic modulus of 133.69 ± 10.
- When in contact, polished steel AISI 304 with spherical bovine bone in pin-on-disc tested with a load of 3 N, the wear mechanisms that occur are pin volume loss, bone adhesion to the steel and steel abrasion. Under the same conditions but with calcium titanate coated steel as a flat counter-part, wear bone also occurs and bone adhesion to the disc without abrasion or detachment of the coating. The friction coefficient in each case was 0.77 ± 0.11 and 0.84 ± 0.13.
- In Hank’s solution, when in contact with both AISI 304 and calcium titanate coated, AISI 304 with spherical bovine bone in pin-on-disc tested with a load of 3 N, the wear mechanisms that occur are pin volume loss and bone adhesion to the disks. Friction coefficients were 0.89 ± 0.11 and 0.84 ± 0.13.
- It can be observed that in case of mutual movement between bone and steel in bio-

59.77 ± 2.13 HV, 54.21 ± 3.28 HV and 43.74 ± 1.97 HV. Namely in dry conditions, volume loss of bone decreases with increasing bone hardness. At steady state it can be seen that friction coefficient of coated steel (0.84 ± 13) is on average greater than the coefficient of friction of bare steel (0.77 ± 0.11), which is related, as shown in Figure 7, with bone volume loss, 0.021 mm³ ± 0.009 mm³ for coated steel and 0.010 mm³ ± 0.004 mm³ for bare steel. It means a higher friction coefficient goes together with a higher volume loss.

Under conditions which simulate the human environment (Hank’s solution at 37°C), on the contrary, part b) of Figure 6 shows that at steady state friction coefficient of bare steel (0.89 ± 0.12) is on average greater than the friction coefficient of coated steel (0.65 ± 0.12) related with bone volume loss of 0.051 mm³ ± 0.009 mm³ and 2.82 mm³ ± 0.58 mm³, respectively, as can be seeing in Figure 7. It means, in Hank’s solution, a higher friction coefficient goes together with a lower volume loss.
logical medium, the bone damage is more severe if steel is coated with calcium titanate. Because of that it is important to measure the adherence of the coating to the bone, in order to analyze its viability as a coating in hip stems.
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