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Abstract

Mathematical functions are used to compute Normal probabilities, which absolute errors are small; however their large relative errors make them unsuitable to compute structural failure probabilities or to compute the menace curves of natural hazards. In this work new mathematical functions are proposed to compute Normal probabilities and their inverses in an easy and accurate way. These functions are valid over a wide range of random variable and are useful in applications where computational speed and efficiency are required. In addition, these functions have the advantage that the numerical correspondence between the random value \( X = x \) and its Normal probability \( \Phi (-x) \) is bijective.
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Resumen

Se utilizan funciones matemáticas para calcular probabilidades normales, cuyos errores absolutos son pequeños; sin embargo, sus grandes errores relativos las hacen inadecuadas para calcular probabilidades de falla de estructuras o para calcular curvas de amenaza de peligros naturales. En este trabajo se proponen nuevas funciones matemáticas para calcular probabilidades normales y sus inversas, de manera fácil y precisa. Estas funciones son válidas en un intervalo amplio de valores que puede tomar la variable aleatoria y son útiles en aplicaciones donde se requiere velocidad y eficiencia computacional. Además, estas funciones tienen la ventaja de que la correspondencia numérica entre el valor aleatorio \( X = x \) y su probabilidad Normal \( \Phi (-x) \) es biyectiva.

Descriptores:
• distribución normal
• inversa
• error absoluto
• error relativo
Introduction

The normal distribution function $\Phi(-x)$ is used in engineering probabilistic applications to compute reliabilities of structural systems such as buildings, offshore platforms, pipelines, tanks, and bridges, among others. These applications require accurate estimations of small probabilities, which are associated with relatively large values. In addition, these probabilities have to be easily invertible. The disadvantage of not having a closed analytical form of estimation $\Phi(-x)$ has been overcome using mathematical approximations. Summaries of these mathematical approximations are given in (Abramowitz & Stegun, 1972; Patel & Read, 1996), which are useful to compute probabilities or their inverses, but not both. The errors of these approximations are specified in terms of their maximum absolute error. The absolute errors of the approximations are small but their relative errors are significant, which becomes important in the tail of probability distribution. In the present work, this inconvenience is shown for the best mathematical function reported in (Abramowitz & Stegun, 1972), the one with minimum absolute error among the available approximations. Also, other approximations commonly used to compute failure probabilities are revised and new mathematical expressions with no such inconvenience are proposed. These new functions are useful to compute Normal probabilities and their inverses in a relatively easy and fast way, with good accuracy. Furthermore, they have the advantages of being valid over a wide range of the random variable, which makes them useful in engineering applications.

Reference framework

Herein, some of the mathematical functions typically used in engineering applications are reviewed. The number of functions analyzed is not exhaustive; the author only discusses some approaches that in his opinion are representative for estimating probabilities and/or their inverses in structural and mechanical engineering. The analysis is focused on identifying the accuracy and scope of these functions, and showing the inconveniences mentioned in the previous section.

In general, the normal probability distribution function $\Phi(\cdot)$ can be described in terms of its probability density function $\varphi(\cdot)$ as follows

$$\Phi(-x) = \psi(x) \varphi(x)$$

(1)

The function $\psi(\cdot)$ relates the normal distribution function with its derivative, the probability density function $\varphi(\cdot)$. It can also be seen as the one that transforms the probability density function in its cumulative. Herein, to obtain values of $\psi(x)$ for large values of $x$, the first and second derivatives $\psi'(\cdot)$ are taken: $\psi', \psi''$ respectively, hence it gives the linear second order differential equation

$$\psi'' - x \psi' - \psi = 0$$

(2)

This differential equation was solved numerically for the initial conditions $\psi(x = 0) = \sqrt{2\pi}/2$ and $\psi'(x = 0) = -1$. Such a solution is taken as reference for $\psi(\cdot)$. As shown in Figure 1, the asymptotic approximation to describe $\psi(x)$, given by the expression (3), gives good results for large $x$ values.

$$\psi_A(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1.3}{x^3} - \frac{13.5}{x^4} + \cdots$$

(3)

For $x$ values close to zero, Eq. (3), diverges from the corresponding numerical function. Although the asymptotic approximation of this function can be used to calculate probabilities associated with large values of $x$, it has the disadvantage of not being easily invertible.

Two functions to describe $\psi(x)$, that provide good approximation over a wide range of $x$ values, are those proposed by Hastings (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972; Rosenblueth, 1985). The Hastings’s approach is a classic function, probably the most used to compute Normal probabilities, because it has the minimum absolute error in (Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972), and can be expressed as follows

$$\psi_H(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j (1 + a_0 x)^{-j}$$

(4)
where $a_j$ are coefficients with ten significant figures, $j = 0, 1, ..., 5$. This approximation gives absolute errors $\varepsilon_A$ lower than $|\varepsilon_A(x) < 7.5 \times 10^{-8}|$. However, as is shown in Figure 2, the relative errors $\varepsilon_R$ grow significantly with $x$. This can also be seen in Figure 4, where the corresponding function $\psi_H(\cdot)$ diverge from the function obtained numerically as $x$ grows, which means that the accuracy of the approach should be expressed in terms of its relative errors, not by its absolute error. Likewise, an inconvenience is that Eq. (4) cannot be easily inverted.

The function proposed by (Rosenblueth, 1985) to describe $\psi(x)$, can be expressed as

$$
\psi_R(x) = \frac{x}{1+2y} + \frac{b_1}{1+b_2 x+b_3 y+b_4 y x+b_5 y x y} (3)
$$

Where $b_j, j = 1, ..., 5$, are numerical coefficients, $y = x^2 / 2$, and $z = 2.93 y'$. As is shown in Figure 3, and according to the author, this last function leads to relative errors below $|\varepsilon_R(x) < 4.5 \times 10^{-5}|$ when $x > 1$ and relative errors are below $|\varepsilon_R(x) < 2.0 \times 10^{-4}|$ over a wide interval. As it is shown in Figure 4, this approach is better than that by Hastings, because match up very closely with the numerical ones solution. However Eq. (5) can only be inverted numerically.

There are other expressions, as that proposed by Veeder (1993) and Ordaz (1991), which have the advantage that the estimation of probabilities and their inverses are easy. However, the relative error grows a lot for $x$ values greater than (3). In Figure 4, the function $\psi(\cdot)$ associated with these last approaches is compared with that obtained numerically and in general, the accuracy of these approaches should be expressed in terms of their relative errors; not by their absolute error, because this leads to compare errors associated with probabilities of several magnitude orders.

**Normal probabilities**

In this work, the mathematical expressions to describe the Normal distribution are specified in terms of its complement, which indicates that for $x >> 0$ values, $\Phi(-x) \rightarrow 0$. However, it is possible to compute Normal probabilities greater than 1/2, because of the symmetry of this function, $\Phi(x) = 1 - \Phi(-x)$. Herein, $\psi(\cdot)$ is described by a set of three functions $\psi_n(\cdot), n = 1, 2, 3$, which have the same mathematical form and are given by Eq. (6). Each one of these functions are valid for the
interval \([x_k, x_{k+1}]\), \(i = 1, 2, 3\), where \(x_k\) and \(x_{k+1}\) values define the boundary of contiguous intervals, specified in Table 1.

\[
\psi_0(x) = c_0 \exp \left[ - \left( c_3, x^3 + c_2, x^2 + c_1, x \right) \right]
\]

\(x_0 < x < x_{0+k} - (6)\)

The function \(\psi(\cdot)\) decreases as a function of \(x\). The exponential function given by Eq. (6) is suitable for describing that decrement, because its behavior is decreasing. The third degree polynomial with constant coefficients \(c_{3i}, c_{2i}, c_{1i}\) present in the argument of the exponential function, was chosen due to its simplicity, since it allows the roots of a third degree polynomial be evaluated in a closed way. Besides, the natural logarithm of Eq. (6) is also a polynomial of third degree and invertible. It is noteworthy that the resulting polynomial of Eq. (1) cannot be less than two, because the argument of \(\psi(\cdot)\) is a polynomial of grade two. A third degree polynomial has the advantage of allowing better representation of the function \(\psi(\cdot)\), with a smaller number of contiguous intervals.

It is assumed that \(\psi(\cdot)\) is equal to the corresponding function \(\psi_{0i}(\cdot), i = 1, 2, 3\), in the \(i\)th segment. The values of coefficients \(c_{2i}\) and \(c_{3i}\), obtained from a fit for each interval \([x_{0i}, x_{0i+1}]\), are shown in Table 1; meanwhile the coefficients \(c_{0i}\) and \(c_{1i}\), are specified in terms of \(c_{2i}\) and \(c_{3i}\), by means of the following expressions

\[
c_{0i} = e^{q_{1i} + q_{2i} c_{2i} + q_{3i} c_{3i}}
\]

\(c_{1i} = r_{1i} + r_{2i} c_{2i} + r_{3i} c_{3i}\) \(\quad (7)\)

\(\quad (8)\)

Where \(q_{ki}\) and \(r_{ki}, i = 1, 2, 3\), \(k = 1, 2, 3\), are given so that the values of \(\psi_{0i}(\cdot)\) in contiguous intervals are equal to \(\psi(\cdot)\). These coefficients are obtained as follows

\[
q_{1i} = r_{1i} x_{0i} + \ln \psi_i
\]

\(q_{2i} = r_{2i} x_{0i} + x_{0i}^2\) \(\quad (9.a)\)

\(q_{3i} = r_{3i} x_{0i} + x_{0i}^3\) \(\quad (9.b)\)

\(q_{3i} = r_{3i} x_{0i} + x_{0i}^3\) \(\quad (9.c)\)

\(r_{0i} = (x_{0i} - x_{0i+1})^{-1}\) \(\quad (10.a)\)

\(r_{1i} = r_{0i} (\ln \psi_{i+1} - \ln \psi_i)\) \(\quad (10.b)\)

\(r_{2i} = -r_{0i} (x_{0i}^3 - x_{0i+1}^3)\) \(\quad (10.c)\)

\(r_{3i} = -r_{0i} (x_{0i}^3 - x_{0i+1}^3)\) \(\quad (10.d)\)

\(\psi_i = \psi(x_i)\) and \(\psi_{i+1} = \psi(x_{i+1})\) values are specified in Table 1, which are related to the corresponding \(x_i\) and \(x_{i+1}\) values. For the first segment, the values of \(c_{0i}\) and \(\psi_1\) can be also obtained as \(c_{0i} = \psi_1 = \sqrt{\pi/2}\). The values of coefficients in Table 1 and the relations 7 to 10 satisfy that the values estimates of the distribution function be continuous. As shown in Figure 5, the relative errors are lower than \(|\varepsilon_i(x) < 2.5 \times 10^{-5}\), which means that are below 0.25%. The relative errors associated to \(x\) values of 0, 3, 8 and 20 are equal to zero, which is consistent with the proposed approach. The error is greater than that obtained by (Rosenblueth, 1985) for one order of magnitude. The absolute error is lower than \(|\varepsilon_i(x) < 9.5 \times 10^{-7}\) for \(x < 3\), and its lower than \(|\varepsilon_i(x) < 6.4 \times 10^{-9}\) for \(x \geq 3\). Figure 6, shows that the proposed approach is good enough to adequately describe the function \(\psi(\cdot)\). Besides, as is shown in the next section, the set of functions in Eq. (6) has the great advantage of being invertible.

### Table 1. Parameters and coefficients values of the proposed approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(x_i)</td>
<td>(x_{i+1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Relative error as a function of \(x\), of the proposed approach.
Figure 6. Numerical and the proposed approach of function \( \psi(\cdot) \)

Figure 7. Flow chart for computing Normal probabilities
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The parameters \( d_{ij} \), \( j = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \), of each segment \( i \) are obtained in terms of the coefficients \( c_{ij} \), \( j = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \) of the corresponding segment \( i \), by means of the expressions

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(13.a)} & \quad d_{0i} = \frac{1}{c_{3i}} \left( \frac{1}{2} + c_{2i} \right) \\
\text{(13.b)} & \quad d_{1i} = \frac{c_{1i}}{3c_{3i}} - \frac{d_{0i}^2}{9} \\
\text{(13.c)} & \quad d_{2i} = \frac{1}{54c_{3i}} \left[ 9c_{1i} d_{0i} - 2 \frac{d_{0i}^3}{c_{3i}} - 27 \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi - \ln c_{0i} \right) \right] \\
\text{(13.d)} & \quad d_{3i} = \frac{27}{54c_{3i}}
\end{align*}
\]

Given that the coefficients \( d_{ij} \) and \( c_{ij} \), \( j = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \) are linked by means of expression 11, the \( x \) values correspond to a specific \( \Phi\left(-x\right) \), which means that the numerical correspondences between these values is one to one (bijective).

The generic flow chart shown in Figure 8 can be implemented in a computer program. The purpose is to describe the steps to compute the inverse normal value for a given probability. This algorithm can be used alternatively to the algorithms described by (Knut, 1997) to simulate values of the Normal distribution. If a number of calculation is necessary, the coefficients need no further evaluation.

**Conclusions**

This work has proposed simple expressions that allow computing normal probabilities, even in the tail of the distribution, and their corresponding inverses. Such expressions are impressively manageable and useful for engineering applications. The proposed approach is simple because the resulting mathematical expressions are simple too, and its relative errors of the new approximation are lower than \( |\varepsilon_\text{r}(x)| < 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \) over a wide range of the random variable. The absolute error is lower than \( |\varepsilon_\text{s}(x)| < 9.5 \times 10^{-4} \) for \( x < 3 \), and its lower than \( |\varepsilon_\text{s}(x)| < 6.4 \times 10^{-4} \) for \( x \geq 3 \). The approach does not have the inconveniences of typical approximations used in several applications that lead to relatively small absolute errors but significant relative errors, which become important in the tail of the probability distribution and are difficult to invert. Relative errors are critical when accurate estimations of small probabilities are required, so
this new approximation is a powerful tool to compute probabilities or/and their inverses in engineering applications.
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